arXiv:1512.08073v1 [math.RA] 26 Dec 2015

New characterizations for core inverses in rings with involution

Sanzhang Xu*, Jianlong Chen†, Xiaoxiang Zhang‡
Department of Mathematics, Southeast University
Nanjing 210096, China

The core inverse for a complex matrix was introduced by Baksalary and Trenkler. Rakić, Dinčić and Djordjević generalized the core inverse of a complex matrix to the case of an element in a ring. They also proved that the core inverse of an element in a ring can be characterized by five equations and every core invertible element is group invertible. It is natural to ask when a group invertible element is core invertible, in this paper, we will answer this question. We will use three equations to characterize the core inverse of an element. That is, let $a, b \in R$, then $a \in R^{\oplus}$ with $a^{\oplus} = b$ if and only if $(ab)^* = ab$, $ba^2 = a$ and $ab^2 = b$. Finally, we investigate the additive property of two core invertible elements. Moreover, the formulae of the sum of two core invertible elements are presented.

Keywords: Core inverse, dual core inverse, group inverse, $\{1,3\}$-inverse, $\{1,4\}$-inverse.

1 Introduction

The core inverse and the dual core inverse for a complex matrix were introduced by Baksalary and Trenkler [1]. Let $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$, where $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ denotes the ring of all $n \times n$ complex matrices. A matrix $X \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ is called a core inverse of A, if it satisfies $AX = P_A$ and $\mathcal{R}(X) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(A)$, where $\mathcal{R}(A)$ denotes the column space of A, and P_A is the orthogonal projector onto $\mathcal{R}(A)$. And if such a matrix exists, then it is unique (and denoted by $A^{\oplus}$). Baksalary and Trenkler gave several characterizations of the core inverse by using the decomposition of Hartwig and Spindelböck.

*E-mail: xusanzhang5222@126.com
†Corresponding author. E-mail: jlchen@seu.edu.cn
‡E-mail: z990303@seu.edu.cn

In [20] Rakić, Dinčić and Djordjević generalized the core inverse of a complex matrix to the case of an operator in $\mathcal{L}(H)$, where H is a Hilbert space and $\mathcal{L}(H)$ denotes the set of all bounded linear operators from H to H. They also proved that the core inverse of an operator $A \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ can be determined by five operator equations.

In [21] Rakić, Dinčić and Djordjević generalized the core inverse of a complex matrix to the case of an element in a ring. They proved that a core invertible element is group invertible and for $a \in R$, the core inverse of a is the unique element x satisfying the following five equations:

$$axa = a, \quad xax = x, \quad (ax)^* = ax, \quad xa^2 = a, \quad ax^2 = x.$$

In [22, Theorem 2.1], Wang and Liu proved that if $A \in \mathbb{C}_n^{CM}$, where $\mathbb{C}_n^{CM} = \{A \in M_n(\mathbb{C}) \mid r(A) = r(A^2)\}$, then the core inverse of A is the unique matrix $X \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ satisfying the following three equations:

$$AXA = A, \quad AX^2 = X, \quad (AX)^* = AX.$$

Motivated by [21] and [22], we answer the question when a group invertible element is core invertible and prove that the core inverse of an element in a ring can be characterized by three equations. We also extend the result [22, Theorem 2.1] to the general ring. Let $a, b \in R$, in Theorem 2.6, we show that $a \in R^{\oplus}$ if and only if $a \in R^{\#} \cap R^{\{1,3\}}$. In Theorem 3.1, we show that $a \in R^{\oplus}$ with $a^{\oplus} = b$ if and only if $(ab)^* = ab$, $ba^2 = a$ and $ab^2 = b$. In Theorem 3.3, we show that if $Ra = Ra^2$, then $a \in R^{\oplus}$ with core inverse b if and only if $aba = a$, $(ab)^* = ab$ and $ab^2 = b$.

The problem of the Moore-Penrose inverse of the sum of two Moore-Penrose invertible elements in complex matrix ring was first considered by Penrose in [18]. Many scholars focus on the additive problem of two generalized invertible elements, such as [3, 4, 6, 7, 16, 23]. The problem of the Drazin inverse of the sum of two Drazin invertible elements in a ring was first considered by Drazin in [8]. He proved that for two group invertible elements $a, b \in R$ satisfying $ab = 0 = ba$, then $a + b$ is group invertible. Hartwig, Wang and Wei in [12] gave a generalization of above result in the complex matrix case in terms of $ab = 0$. Chen, Zhuang and Wei in [5] also gave a generalization of above result in the morphism case in terms of $ab = 0$. In section 4, we will show that if a and b are core invertible and satisfy $ab = 0$ and $a^*b = 0$, then $a + b$ is core invertible and $(a + b)^{\oplus} = b^{\pi}a^{\oplus} + b^{\oplus}$, where $b^{\pi} = 1 - b^{\oplus}b$.

For the convenience of the reader, some fundamental concepts are given as follows. An element $b \in R$ is an inner inverse of $a \in R$ if $aba = a$ holds.

The set of all inner inverses of a will be denoted by $a\{1\}$. An element $a \in R$ is said to be group invertible if there exists $b \in R$ such that the following equations hold:

$$aba = a, \quad bab = b, \quad ab = ba.$$

The element b which satisfies the above equations is called a group inverse of a. If such an element b exists, it is unique and denoted by $a^{\#}$. The set of all group invertible elements will be denoted by $R^{\#}$.

Let R be a $*$-ring, that is a ring with an involution $a \mapsto a^*$ satisfying $(a^*)^* = a$, $(ab)^* = b^*a^*$ and $(a+b)^* = a^* + b^*$.

An element $\tilde{a} \in R$ is called a $\{1,3\}$-inverse of a if $a\tilde{a}a = a$ and $(a\tilde{a})^* = a\tilde{a}$. The set of all $\{1,3\}$-invertible elements will be denoted by $R^{\{1,3\}}$. Similarly, an element $\hat{a} \in R$ is called a $\{1,4\}$-inverse of a if $a\hat{a}a = a$ and $(\hat{a}a)^* = \hat{a}a$. The set of all $\{1,4\}$-invertible elements will be denoted by $R^{\{1,4\}}$.

We will also use the following notations: $aR = \{ax \mid x \in R\}$, $Ra = \{xa \mid x \in R\}$, $^\circ a = \{x \in R \mid xa = 0\}$, $a^\circ = \{x \in R \mid ax = 0\}$.

2 When a group invertible element is core invertible

In this section, some characterizations of the existence of a core invertible element in rings are obtained. Let us start this section with some preliminaries.

Definition 2.1. *[21] Let $a, x \in R$, if*

$$axa = a, \ xR = aR, \ Rx = Ra^*,$$

then x is called a core inverse of a and if such an element x exists, then it is unique and denoted by $a^{\oplus}$. The set of all core invertible elements in R will be denoted by $R^{\oplus}$.

Definition 2.2. *[21] Let $a, x \in R$, if*

$$axa = a, \ xR = a^*R, \ Rx = Ra,$$

then x is called a dual core inverse of a and if such an element x exists, then it is unique and denoted by $a_{\oplus}$. The set of all dual core invertible elements in R will be denoted by $R_{\oplus}$.

Lemma 2.3. *[21, Theorem 2.14] Let $a \in R$, then $a \in R^{\oplus}$ with core inverse x if and only if*

$$axa = a, \quad xax = x, \quad (ax)^* = ax, \quad xa^2 = a, \quad ax^2 = x.$$

Lemma 2.4. *[21] Let $a \in R$, we have:*
(1) *If $a \in R^{\oplus}$, then $a \in R^{\#}$ and $a^{\#} = (a^{\oplus})^2 a$;*
(2) *$a \in R^{\#}$ if and only if there exists an idempotent $q \in R$ such that $qR = aR$ and $Rq = Ra$.*

Lemma 2.5. *[9, Theorem 2] Let $a \in R$, then $a \in R^{\{1,3\}}$ if and only if there exists unique $p \in R$ such that $p^2 = p = p^*$ and $aR = pR$.*

In [21, Theorem 2.14], Rakić, Dinčić and Djordjević proved that $a \in R^{\oplus}$ if and only if there exists $p^2 = p = p^*$ and $q^2 = q$ such that $aR = pR$ and $qR = aR$ and $Rq = Ra$. Thus by Lemma 2.4 and Lemma 2.5, we have the following theorem. For the convenience of the reader, we give another method to prove this result.

Theorem 2.6. *Let $a \in R$, then $a \in R^{\oplus}$ if and only if $a \in R^{\#} \cap R^{\{1,3\}}$. In this case, $a^{\oplus} = a^{\#} a a^{(1,3)}$.*

Proof. Suppose $a \in R^{\oplus}$, then $a^{\#} = (a^{\oplus})^2 a$ by Lemma 2.4 and $a \in R^{\{1,3\}}$ by Lemma 2.3. Conversely, suppose $a \in R^{\#} \cap R^{\{1,3\}}$, then $aa^{(1,3)}a = a$ and $(aa^{(1,3)})^* = aa^{(1,3)}$, we have

$$a = aa^{(1,3)}a = (aa^{(1,3)})^* a = (a^{(1,3)})^* a^* a.$$

Let $y = a^{\#} a a^{(1,3)}$, then $aya = aa^{\#} a a^{(1,3)} a = aa^{\#} a = a$. Since $y = a^{\#} a a^{(1,3)} = a(a^{\#})^2 a a^{(1,3)}$ and $a = a^{\#} a^2 = a^{\#} (a^{(1,3)})^* a^* a^2 = y a^2$, we get $yR = aR$. We also have

$$a^* = a^* a a^{(1,3)} = a^* a a^{\#} a a^{(1,3)} = a^* a y.$$

So $Ry = Ra^*$. Thus $a \in R^{\oplus}$ by the definition of the core inverse. □

Corollary 2.7. *Let $a \in R$, then the following conditions are equivalent:*
(1) *$a \in R^{\oplus}$;*
(2) *$a \in R^{\#}$ and there exists $x \in R$ such that $(ax)^* = ax$ and $xa^2 = a$;*
(3) *$a \in R^{\#}$ and there exists $x \in R$ such that $(ax)^* = ax$ and $xa = a^{\#} a$.*

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) It is clear by Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.4.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) It is sufficient to prove that $xa^2 = a$ implies $xa = a^{\#} a$. It is easy to see that by $xa = xa^2 a^{\#} = aa^{\#} = a^{\#} a$.

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (1) Suppose $a \in R^{\#}$ and there exists $x \in R$ such that $(ax)^* = ax$ and $xa = a^{\#} a$. Then $axa = aa^{\#} a = a$, that is $a \in R^{\{1,3\}}$. Thus by the hypothesis $a \in R^{\#}$ and Theorem 2.6, we have $a \in R^{\oplus}$. □

There exists a corresponding result for the dual core inverse.

Theorem 2.8. *Let $a \in R$, then $a \in R_{\oplus}$ if and only if $a \in R^{\#} \cap R^{\{1,4\}}$. In this case, $a_{\oplus} = a^{(1,4)}aa^{\#}$.*

Lemma 2.9. *[9] Let $a \in R$, we have:*
(I) The following conditions are equivalent:
(1) $a \in R^{\{1,3\}}$;
(2) $R = aR \oplus (a^*)^\circ$;
(3) $R = aR + (a^*)^\circ$;
(4) $R = Ra^* \oplus {}^\circ a$;
(5) $R = Ra^* + {}^\circ a$.
In this case,

$$a\{1,3\} = \{r + (1 - ra)w_1 \mid w_1 \in R\} = \{s^* + (1 - s^*a)w_2 \mid w_2 \in R\}, \quad (1)$$

where $1 = ar + u = sa^* + v$ for some $r, s \in R$, $u \in (a^*)^\circ$ and $v \in {}^\circ a$.
(II) The following conditions are equivalent:
(1) $a \in R^{\{1,4\}}$;
(2) $R = a^*R \oplus a^\circ$;
(3) $R = a^*R + a^\circ$;
(4) $R = Ra \oplus {}^\circ(a^*)$;
(5) $R = Ra + {}^\circ(a^*)$.
In this case,

$$a\{1,4\} = \{s + w_1(1 - as) \mid w_1 \in R\} = \{r^* + w_2(1 - ar^*) \mid w_2 \in R\}, \quad (2)$$

where $1 = a^*r + u = sa + v$ for some $r, s \in R$, $u \in a^\circ$ and $v \in {}^\circ(a^*)$.

Lemma 2.10. *[10] Let $a \in R$, then $a \in R^{\#}$ if and only if $R = aR \oplus a^\circ$ if and only if $R = Ra \oplus {}^\circ a$.*
In this case,

$$a^{\#} = ax^2 = y^2a, \quad (3)$$

where $1 = ax + u = ya + v$ for some $x, y \in R$, $u \in a^\circ$ and $v \in {}^\circ a$.

Proposition 2.11. *Let $a \in R$, then the following conditions are equivalent:*
(1) $a \in R^{\oplus}$;
(2) $R = aR \oplus (a^*)^\circ = aR \oplus a^\circ$;
(3) $R = aR + (a^*)^\circ = aR \oplus a^\circ$;
(4) $R = Ra^* \oplus {}^\circ a = aR \oplus a^\circ$;
(5) $R = Ra^* + {}^\circ a = aR \oplus a^\circ$;
(6) $R = aR \oplus (a^*)^\circ = Ra \oplus {}^\circ a$;

(7) $R = aR + (a^*)^\circ = Ra \oplus^\circ a$;
(8) $R = Ra^* \oplus^\circ a = Ra \oplus^\circ a$;
(9) $R = Ra^* +^\circ a = Ra \oplus^\circ a$.
In this case,

$$a^{\oplus} = ay_1^2ax_1 = ay_1^2ax_2^* = y_2ax_1 = y_2ax_2^*,$$

where $1 = ax_1+u_1 = x_2a^*+u_2 = ay_1+v_1 = y_2a+v_2$ *for some* $x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 \in R$, $u_1 \in (a^*)^\circ$, $v_1 \in a^\circ$ *and* $u_2, v_2 \in^\circ a$.

Proof. By Theorem 2.6, we have $a \in R^{\oplus}$ if and only if $a \in R^{\#} \cap R^{\{1,3\}}$ and

$$a^{\oplus} = a^{\#}aa^{(1,3)}. \tag{4}$$

Thus it is easy to see (1)-(9) are equivalent by Lemma 2.9 and Lemma 2.10. Suppose $1 = ax_1 + u_1 = x_2a^* + u_2 = ay_1 + v_1 = y_2a + v_2$, for some $x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 \in R$, $u_1 \in (a^*)^\circ$, $v_1 \in a^\circ$ and $u_2, v_2 \in^\circ a$. Then by (1) and (3), respectively, we have

$$a^{(1,3)} = x_1 = x_2^* \quad and \quad a^{\#} = ay_1^2 = y_2^2a. \tag{5}$$

$$a = y_2a^2 + v_2a = y_2a^2. \tag{6}$$

Thus by (4) and (5), we have

$$a^{\oplus} = ay_1^2ax_1 = ay_1^2ax_2^* = y_2^2a^2x_1 = y_2^2a^2x_2^*.$$

Hence $a^{\oplus} = ay_1^2ax_1 = ay_1^2ax_2^* = y_2ax_1 = y_2ax_2^*$ by (6). □

Proposition 2.12. *Let* $a \in R$, *then the following conditions are equivalent:*
(1) $a \in R_{\oplus}$;
(2) $R = a^*R \oplus a^\circ = aR \oplus a^\circ$;
(3) $R = a^*R + a^\circ = aR \oplus a^\circ$;
(4) $R = Ra \oplus^\circ (a^*) = aR \oplus a^\circ$;
(5) $R = Ra +^\circ (a^*) = aR \oplus a^\circ$;
(6) $R = a^*R \oplus a^\circ = Ra \oplus^\circ a$;
(7) $R = a^*R + a^\circ = Ra \oplus^\circ a$;
(8) $R = Ra \oplus^\circ (a^*) = Ra \oplus^\circ a$;
(9) $R = Ra +^\circ (a^*) = Ra \oplus^\circ a$.
In this case,

$$a_{\oplus} = x_1^*ay_1 = x_1^*ay_2^2a = x_2ay_1 = x_2ay_2^2a,$$

where $1 = a^*x_1+u_1 = x_2a+u_2 = ay_1+v_1 = y_2a+v_2$ *for some* $x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 \in R$, $u_2 \in^\circ (a^*)$, $v_2 \in^\circ a$ *and* $u_1, v_1 \in a^\circ$.

3 New characterizations of elements to be core invertible by equations

In this section, some new characterizations of core and dual core inverses in rings are obtained.

In the following theorem, we can prove that equations $axa = a$ and $xax = x$ in Lemma 2.3 can be dropped.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $a, x \in R$, then $a \in R^{\oplus}$ with $a^{\oplus} = x$ if and only if $(ax)^* = ax$, $xa^2 = a$ and $ax^2 = x$.*

Proof. Suppose $a \in R^{\oplus}$, then we have $(ax)^* = ax$, $xa^2 = a$ and $ax^2 = x$ by Lemma 2.3. Conversely, if $(ax)^* = ax$, $xa^2 = a$ and $ax^2 = x$, then we have

$$x = ax^2 = xa^2x^2 = xa(ax^2) = xax. \tag{7}$$

$$a = xa^2 = ax^2a^2 = ax(xa^2) = axa. \tag{8}$$

Thus by Lemma 2.3, we have $a \in R^{\oplus}$ and $a^{\oplus} = x$. □

In [22, Theorem 2.1], Wang and Liu proved that for $A \in \mathbb{C}_n^{CM}$, then the core inverse of A is the unique matrix $X \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ satisfying the following three equations:

$$AXA = A, \quad AX^2 = X, \quad (AX)^* = AX.$$

By the definition of $\mathbb{C}_n^{CM}$, we know $A \in \mathbb{C}_n^{CM}$ if and only if A is group invertible. We will extend the above result to the ring case, let us begin with an lemma.

Lemma 3.2. *[2] Let R be a ring, if $a \in R$ is regular with inner inverse a^-, then the following conditions are equivalent:*
(1) *$a \in R^{\#}$;*
(2) *$u = a^2a^- + 1 - aa^-$ is invertible;*
(3) *$v = a^-a^2 + 1 - a^-a$ is invertible;*
(4) *$u' = a + 1 - aa^-$ is invertible;*
(5) *$v' = a + 1 - a^-a$ is invertible.*
In this case,

$$a^{\#} = u^{-2}a = av^{-2}.$$

Theorem 3.3. *Let $a, b \in R$. If $Ra = Ra^2$, then the following are equivalent:*
(1) *$a \in R^{\oplus}$ with core inverse b;*
(2) *$aba = a$, $(ab)^* = ab$ and $ab^2 = b$.*

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) It is trivial by Lemma 2.3.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) Suppose $aba = a$, $ab^2 = b$, $(ab)^* = ab$, then $a = aba = a^2b^2a \in a^2R$, thus $a \in R^{\#}$ by the hypothesis $Ra = Ra^2$ and [10, Proposition 7]. So $a + 1 - ab$ is invertible by Lemma 3.2.

$$(a + 1 - ab)a^{\#}ab = aa^{\#}ab = ab. \tag{9}$$

(9) is equivalent to

$$a^{\#}ab = (a + 1 - ab)^{-1}ab. \tag{10}$$

By (10) and Theorem 2.6 we have

$$a^{\oplus} = (a + 1 - ab)^{-1}ab. \tag{11}$$

Since $ab^2 = b$, then $0 = b - ab^2 = (a + 1 - ab)b - ab$, thus

$$b = (a + 1 - ab)^{-1}ab. \tag{12}$$

Hence $b = a^{\oplus}$ by (11) and (12). □

An infinite matrix M is said to be bi-finite if it is both row-finite and column-finite.

Example 3.4. *The condition $Ra = Ra^2$ in Theorem 3.3 cannot be dropped. Let R be the ring of all bi-finite matrices over the real field $\mathbb{R}$ with transpose as involution. Consider the following matrices A, B over R. $A = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} e_{i,i+1}$ and $B = A^*$, where $e_{i,j}$ denotes the matrix with (i, j)-th is 1 and other entries are zero. Then $BA = \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} e_{i,i}$ and $AB = I$, thus $ABA = A$, $(AB)^* = AB$, $AB^2 = B$. Since A is not group invertible, A is not core invertible.*

Theorem 3.5. *Let $a, b \in R$. If $aR = a^2R$, then the following are equivalent:*
(1) $a \in R^{\oplus}$ with core inverse $a^{\oplus} = b$;
(2) $bab = b$, $(ab)^* = ab$ and $ba^2 = a$.

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) It is trivial by Lemma 2.3.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) Suppose $bab = b$, $(ab)^* = ab$ and $ba^2 = a$, then $a = ba^2 \in Ra^2$, thus $a \in R^{\#}$ by the hypothesis $aR = a^2R$ and [10, Proposition 7]. Post multiplication by $a^{\#}$ on equation $ba^2 = a$ yields $ba = aa^{\#}$, thus

$$aba = a^2a^{\#} = a.$$

Hence $b \in a\{1,3\}$ by $(ab)^* = ab$. By Theorem 2.6, we have $a^{\oplus} = a^{\#}aa^{(1,3)}$. Therefore

$$a^{\oplus} = a^{\#}aa^{(1,3)} = aa^{\#}b = bab = b.$$

□

Example 3.6. *The condition $aR = a^2R$ in Theorem 3.5 cannot be dropped. Let R be the ring of all bi-finite matrices with entries over field $\mathbb{R}$ with transpose as involution. Consider the following matrices A, B over R. $A = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} e_{i+1,i}$ and $B = A^*$, then $AB = \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} e_{i,i}$, $BA = I$, thus $BAB = B$, $(AB)^* = AB$ and $BA^2 = A$. Since A is not group invertible, whence A is not core invertible.*

By Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 3.5, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.7. *Let $a, b \in R$. If $a \in R^{\#}$, then the following conditions are equivalent:*
(1) $a \in R^{\oplus}$ with core inverse b;
(2) $aba = a$, $ab^2 = b$, $(ab)^* = ab$;
(3) $bab = b$, $ba^2 = a$, $(ab)^* = ab$.

Lemma 3.8. *[19] Let $a \in R$, if a is regular and $a^- \in a\{1\}$, then we have:*
(1) $aR = a^2R$ if and only if $U_1 = a + 1 - aa^-$ is right invertible if and only if $V_1 = a + 1 - a^-a$ is right invertible;
(2) $Ra = Ra^2$ if and only if $U_2 = a + 1 - aa^-$ is left invertible if and only if $V_2 = a + 1 - a^-a$ is left invertible.

A ring R is called direct finite, if $ab = 1$ implies $ba = 1$ for any $a, b \in R$.

Theorem 3.9. *The following conditions are equivalent:*
(1) R is a direct finite ring;
(2) Let $a, b \in R$, then $aba = a$, $(ab)^* = ab$, $ab^2 = b$ if and only if $a \in R^{\oplus}$ with $a^{\oplus} = b$.

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) Suppose $a \in R^{\oplus}$ with $a^{\oplus} = b$, then $aba = a$, $(ab)^* = ab$, $ab^2 = b$ by Lemma 2.3. Conversely, suppose R is a direct finite ring and $aba = a$, $(ab)^* = ab$, $ab^2 = b$, then $b \in a\{1,3\}$. Since

$$a = aba = a(ab^2)a = a^2b^2a \in a^2R,$$

so $a+1-ab$ is right invertible by Lemma 3.8. Thus $a+1-ab$ is invertible by R is a direct finite ring. By Lemma 3.2, we have $a \in R^{\#}$, therefore $a^{\oplus} = b$ by Corollary 3.7.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) For arbitrary $m, n \in R$, if $mn = 1$, then $mnm = m$, $(mn)^* = mn$, $mn^2 = n$, thus by the hypothetical condition, we have $m \in R^{\oplus}$ with $m^{\oplus} = n$, then $m \in R^{\#}$ by Lemma 2.4. Post-multiplication by n on equation $m = mm^{\#}m$ yields

$$1 = mn = mm^{\#}mn = mm^{\#},$$

thus, $mm^{\#} = m^{\#}m = 1$ which imply m is invertible. Then $nm = 1$, so R is a direct finite ring. □

Theorem 3.10. *Consider the following conditions:*
(1) *R is a direct finite ring;*
(2) *Let $a, b \in R$, if $bab = b$, $(ab)^* = ab$ and $ba^2 = a$, then $a \in R^{\oplus}$ with $a^{\oplus} = b$;*
(3) *Let $a, b \in R$, if $aba = a$, $(ab)^* = ab$ and $ba^2 = a$, then $a \in R^{\oplus}$ with $a^{\oplus} = bab$;*
(4) *Let $a \in R$, if $a^*a = 1$, then $aa^* = 1$.*
Then, we have (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) $\Rightarrow$ (4).

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) Suppose R is a direct finite ring, by $bab = b$ and $ba^2 = a$, we have

$$b = bab = b(ba^2)b = b^2a^2b \in b^2R.$$

Since $b = bab$ gives $a \in b\{1\}$, by Lemma 3.8, $b + 1 - ba$ is right invertible. As R is a direct finite ring, hence $b+1-ba$ is invertible, which gives $b \in R^{\#}$ by Lemma 3.2. Pre-multiplication of $b = b^2a^2b$ by $b^{\#}$ now yields $b^{\#}b = ab$, thus

$$ab^2 = b^{\#}b^2 = b.$$

Whence by Theorem 3.1, we have $a \in R^{\oplus}$ with $a^{\oplus} = b$.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) Let $c = bab$, by $bab = b$, $(ab)^* = ab$ and $ba^2 = a$, we have $cac = c$, $(ac)^* = ac$ and $ca^2 = a$, thus by (2), we have $a \in R^{\oplus}$ with $a^{\oplus} = c = bab$.

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (4) Suppose $a^*a = 1$, let $b = a^*$, then $aba = a$, $(ab)^* = ab$ and $ba^2 = a$. By (3), we have $a \in R^{\oplus}$, thus $1 = a^*a = a^*aa^{\oplus}a = a^{\oplus}a = a(a^{\oplus})^2a$, That is a is invertible, which gives $aa^* = 1$. □

Proposition 3.11. *Let $a, b \in R$. If $aR = a^2R$, then the following conditions are equivalent:*
(1) *$a \in R^{\oplus}$ with $a^{\oplus} = b$;*
(2) *$ba^2 = a$, $(ab)^* = ab$, $bR \subseteq aR$;*
(3) *$a \in R^{\{1,3\}}$ and satisfies $ba^2 = a$, $b = ba\hat{a}$ for some $\hat{a} \in a\{1, 3\}$.*

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) Suppose $a \in R^{\oplus}$ with $a^{\oplus} = b$, then $ba^2 = a$, $(ab)^* = ab$ and $b = ab^2$ by Lemma 2.3, thus $bR \subseteq aR$.

(1) $\Rightarrow$ (3) Suppose $a \in R^{\oplus}$ with $a^{\oplus} = b$, then $ba^2 = a$ by Lemma 2.3. By Theorem 2.6, we have

$$a^{\oplus} = a^{\#}aa^{(1,3)} = (a^{\#}aa^{(1,3)})aa^{(1,3)} = a^{\oplus}aa^{(1,3)} = baa^{(1,3)}.$$

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) Suppose $ba^2 = a$, $(ab)^* = ab$, $bR \subseteq aR$, then $b = ax$, for some $x \in R$. By $ba^2 = a$, we have $b = ax = ba^2x = bab$. Therefore, $a \in R^{\oplus}$ with $a^{\oplus} = b$ by Theorem 3.5.

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (1) Suppose $ba^2 = a$, $b = ba\hat{a}$, for some $\hat{a} \in a\{1,3\}$ and $aR = a^2R$, thus $a \in R^{\#}$ by $a \in a^2R \cap Ra^2$. Hence

$$b = ba\hat{a} = ba^2a^{\#}\hat{a} = aa^{\#}\hat{a} = a^{\oplus}$$

by Theorem 2.6. □

4 The core invertibility of the sum of two core invertible elements

In this section, we will show that if two core invertible elements $a, b \in R$ satisfy $ab = 0$ and $a^*b = 0$, then $a + b$ is core invertible. Moreover, the explicit expression of the sum of two core invertible elements is presented.

In 1958 Drazin [8] proved that if two group invertible elements $a, b \in R$ satisfy $ab = 0 = ba$, then $a + b$ is group invertible. Chen, Zhuang and Wei in [5] gave a generalization of this result in the case of $ab = 0$. This generalization also can be found in [3, Theorem 2.1].

Lemma 4.1. *[5] Let $a,\ b \in R$ be group invertible with $ab = 0$, then $a + b$ is group invertible. In this case,*

$$(a + b)^{\#} = (1 - bb^{\#})a^{\#} + b^{\#}(1 - aa^{\#}).$$

Example 4.2. *In $\mathbb{Z}_8$ with $x^* = x$ for all $x \in \mathbb{Z}_8$, both $a = \bar{1}$ and $b = \bar{3}$ are core invertible, but $a + b \notin R^{\oplus}$.*

Theorem 4.3. *Let $a, b \in R^{\oplus}$ with core inverses $a^{\oplus}$ and $b^{\oplus}$, respectively. If $ab = 0$ and $a^*b = 0$, then $a + b \in R^{\oplus}$.*
Moreover,

$$(a + b)^{\oplus} = b^{\pi}a^{\oplus} + b^{\oplus},$$

where $b^{\pi} = 1 - b^{\oplus}b$.

Proof. Suppose $a, b \in R^{\oplus}$, then by Lemma 2.4, we have $a, b \in R^{\#}$ with $a^{\#} = (a^{\oplus})^2 a$ and $b^{\#} = (b^{\oplus})^2 b$, respectively. Since $ab = 0$, $a + b \in R^{\#}$ by Lemma 4.1 and

$$\begin{aligned}
&(a+b)^{\#} \\
&= (1 - bb^{\#})a^{\#} + b^{\#}(1 - aa^{\#}) \\
&= (1 - b^{\#}b)a^{\#} + b^{\#}(1 - a^{\#}a) \\
&= (1 - (b^{\oplus})^2 b^2)(a^{\oplus})^2 a + (b^{\oplus})^2 b(1 - (a^{\oplus})^2 a^2). \\
&= (1 - b^{\oplus}b)(a^{\oplus})^2 a + (b^{\oplus})^2 b(1 - a^{\oplus}a).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $ab = 0$ and $a^*b = 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
&ab^{\oplus} = ab(b^{\oplus})^2 = 0. \\
&b^{\oplus}a = b^{\oplus}bb^{\oplus}a = b^{\oplus}(bb^{\oplus})^*a = b^{\oplus}(b^{\oplus})^*b^*a = b^{\oplus}(b^{\oplus})^*(a^*b)^* = 0. \\
&a^{\oplus}b = a^{\oplus}aa^{\oplus}b = a^{\oplus}(aa^{\oplus})^*b = a^{\oplus}(a^{\oplus})^*a^*b = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $x = (1 - b^{\oplus}b)a^{\oplus} + b^{\oplus}$. We will show that x is a $\{1,3\}$-inverse of $a + b$.

$$\begin{aligned}
&(a+b)x \\
&= (a+b)[(1 - b^{\oplus}b)a^{\oplus} + b^{\oplus}] \\
&= a(1 - b^{\oplus}b)a^{\oplus} + b(1 - b^{\oplus}b)a^{\oplus} + ab^{\oplus} + bb^{\oplus} \\
&= a(1 - b^{\oplus}b)a^{\oplus} + bb^{\oplus} \\
&= aa^{\oplus} + bb^{\oplus}. \\
&(a+b)x(a+b) \\
&= (aa^{\oplus} + bb^{\oplus})(a+b) = aa^{\oplus}a + aa^{\oplus}b + bb^{\oplus}a + bb^{\oplus}b \\
&= aa^{\oplus}a + bb^{\oplus}b = a + b.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence by Theorem 2.6, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&(a+b)^{\oplus} \\
&= (a+b)^{\#}(a+b)(a+b)^{(1,3)} \\
&= [(1 - b^{\oplus}b)(a^{\oplus})^2 a + (b^{\oplus})^2 b(1 - a^{\oplus}a)](a+b)[(1 - b^{\oplus}b)a^{\oplus} + b^{\oplus}] \\
&= [(1 - b^{\oplus}b)(a^{\oplus})^2 a^2 + (1 - b^{\oplus}b)(a^{\oplus})^2 ab + (b^{\oplus})^2 b(1 - a^{\oplus}a)a + (b^{\oplus})^2 b \\
&\quad (1 - a^{\oplus}a)b][(1 - b^{\oplus}b)a^{\oplus} + b^{\oplus}] \\
&= [(1 - b^{\oplus}b)a^{\oplus}a + b^{\oplus}b][(1 - b^{\oplus}b)a^{\oplus} + b^{\oplus}] \\
&= (1 - b^{\oplus}b)a^{\oplus}a(1 - b^{\oplus}b)a^{\oplus} + b^{\oplus}b(1 - b^{\oplus}b)a^{\oplus} + (1 - b^{\oplus}b)a^{\oplus}ab^{\oplus} + b^{\oplus}bb^{\oplus} \\
&= (1 - b^{\oplus}b)a^{\oplus} + b^{\oplus} \\
&= b^{\pi}a^{\oplus} + b^{\oplus},
\end{aligned}$$

where $b^{\pi} = 1 - b^{\oplus}b$. $\square$

Example 4.4. *The condition $ab = 0$ in Theorem 4.3 cannot be dropped. Let $R = M_2(\mathcal{F})$ with transpose as involution, where $\mathcal{F}$ is a field. Take $a = \left[\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right]$ and $b = \left[\begin{smallmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right]$ which satisfy $a^2 = a$ and $b^2 = -b$, then a, b is group invertible. It is easy to see that $a, b \in R^{\{1,3\}}$, thus by Theorem 2.6 a, b is core invertible. Yet, $a + b = \left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -2 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right]$ which satisfies $(a + b)^2 = \left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right]$ would imply that $a+b$ is not group invertible and therefore $a+b$ is not core invertible by Theorem 2.6.*

If $a, b \in R^{\dagger}$ with $a^*b = 0$ and $ab^* = 0$, then $a + b \in R^{\dagger}$ and $(a + b)^{\dagger} = a^{\dagger} + b^{\dagger}$. This result was proved by Penrose [18, Lemma 1] in the complex matrix case, but it is valid for the ring case. Yet it is not true for core inverse. The counterexample can be found as follows.

Remark 4.5. *Let $R = M_2(\mathbb{Z}_4)$ with transpose as involution. $a = \left[\begin{smallmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right]$ and $b = \left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right]$ in R, which satisfy $a^2 = -a$ and $b^2 = b$, then a, b is group invertible. It is easy to see that $a \in Ra^*a$ and $b \in Rb^*b$, thus $a, b \in R^{\{1,3\}}$, which imply $a, b \in R^{\oplus}$. Yet, $a + b \notin R(a + b)^*(a + b)$, that is $a + b \notin R^{\{1,3\}}$, thus $a + b \notin R^{\oplus}$, although we have $a^*b = 0$ and $ab^* = 0$. This remark also shows that the condition $ab = 0$ in Theorem 4.3 cannot be dropped.*

Remark 4.6. *Let $R = M_2(\mathcal{F})$ with transpose as involution, where $\mathcal{F}$ is a field. Take $a = \left[\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right]$ and $b = \left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right]$, then $a, b \in R^{\dagger}$ and $a^*b = ab = 0$, yet $a + b \notin R(a + b)^*(a + b)$, that is $a + b \notin R^{\{1,3\}}$, thus $a + b \notin R^{\oplus}$.*

Corollary 4.7. *Let $a, b \in R^{\oplus}$ with core inverses $a^{\oplus}$ and $b^{\oplus}$, respectively. If $ab = 0 = ba$ and $a^*b = 0$, then $a + b \in R^{\oplus}$.*
Moreover,

$$(a + b)^{\oplus} = a^{\oplus} + b^{\oplus}.$$

Similarly, we have the following results for dual core inverse.

Theorem 4.8. *Let $a, b \in R_{\oplus}$ with dual core inverses $a_{\oplus}$ and $b_{\oplus}$, respectively. If $ab = 0$ and $ab^* = 0$, then $a + b \in R_{\oplus}$.*
Moreover,

$$(a + b)_{\oplus} = a_{\oplus} + b_{\oplus}a^{\pi},$$

where $a^{\pi} = 1 - aa_{\oplus}$.

Corollary 4.9. *Let $a, b \in R_{\oplus}$ with dual core inverses $a_{\oplus}$ and $b_{\oplus}$, respectively. If $ab = 0 = ba$ and $ab^* = 0$, then $a + b \in R_{\oplus}$.*
Moreover,

$$(a + b)_{\oplus} = a_{\oplus} + b_{\oplus}.$$

Acknowledgements

This research is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.11201063 and No.11371089), the Specialized Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education (No.20120092110020); the Jiangsu Planned Projects for Postdoctoral Research Funds (No.1501048B); the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province (No.BK20141327).

References

[1] O.M. Baksalary, G. Trenkler, Core inverse of matrices, Linear Multilinear Algebra 58 (2010), no. 6, 681-697.

[2] A. Ben-Israel, T.N. Greville, Generalized Inverses: Theory and Applications, Wiley, Chichester, UK, 1977.

[3] J. Benítez, X.J. Liu, T.P. Zhu, Additive results for the group inverse in an algebra with applications to block operators, Linear Multilinear Algebra 59 (2011), no. 3, 279-289.

[4] N. Castroá-Gonzlez, Additive perturbation results for the Drazin inverse, Linear Algebra Appl. 397 (2005), 279-297.

[5] J.L. Chen, G.F. Zhuang, Y.M. Wei, The Drazin inverse of a sum of morphisms, Acta Math. Sci. Ser. A Chin. Ed. 29 (2009), no. 3, 538-552.

[6] D.S. Cvetković-Ilić, D.S. Djordjevic , Y.M. Wei, Additive results for the generalized Drazin inverse in a Banach algebra, Linear Algebra Appl. 418 (2006), 53-61.

[7] C.Y. Deng, Y.M. Wei, New additive results for the generalized Drazin inverse, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 370 (2010), 313-321.

[8] M.P. Drazin, Pseudo-inverses in associative rings and semigroup, Amer. Math. Monthly 65 (1958), 506-514.

[9] R.Z. Han, J.L. Chen, Generalized inverses of matrices over rings, Chinese Quarterly J. Math. 7 (1992), no. 4, 40-49.

[10] R.E. Hartwig, Block generalized inverses, Arch. Retional Mech. Anal. 61 (1976), no. 3, 197-251.

[11] R.E. Hartwig, K. Spindelböck, Matrices for which A^* and $A^\dagger$ commmute, Linear Multilinear Algebra 14 (1984), 241-256.

[12] R.E. Hartwig, G.R. Wang, Y.M. Wei, Some additive results on Drazin inverse, Linear Algebra Appl. 322 (2001), no. 1-3, 207-217.

[13] M.R. Hestenes, Relative hermitian matrices, Pacific J. Math. 11 (1961), 225-245.

[14] J.J. Koliha, P. Patrićio, Elements of rings with equal spectral idempotents, J. Aust. Math. Soc. 72 (2002), no. 1, 137-152.

[15] X. Mary, On generalized inverses and Green's relations, Linear Algebra Appl. 434 (2011), 1836-1844.

[16] P. Patrićio, R.E. Hartwig, Some additive results on Drazin inverse, Appl. Math. Comput. 215 (2009), 530-538.

[17] P. Patrićio, R. Puystjens, Drazin-Moore-Penrose invertiblity in rings, Linear Algebra Appl. 389 (2004), 159-173.

[18] R. Penrose, A generalized inverse for matrices, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 51, (1955). 406-413.

[19] P. Puystjens, R.E. Hartwig, The group inverse of a companion matrix, Linear Multilinear Algebra 43 (1997), 137-150.

[20] D.S. Rakić, Nebojša Č. Dinčić, D.S. Djordjević, Core inverse and core partial order of Hilbert space operators, Appl. Math. Comput. 244 (2014), 283-302.

[21] D.S. Rakić, Nebojša Č. Dinčić, D.S. Djordjević, Group, Moore-Penrose, core and dual core inverse in rings with involution, Linear Algebra Appl. 463 (2014), 115-133.

[22] H.X. Wang, X.J. Liu, Characterizations of the core inverse and the partial ordering, Linear Multilinear Algebra 63 (2015), no. 9, 1829-1836.

[23] G.F. Zhuang, J.L. Chen, D.S. Cvetković-Ilić, Y.M. Wei, Additive property of Drazin invertibility of elemnets in a ring, Linear Multilinear Algebra 60 (2012), 903-910.